

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,778	02/28/2005	Bruno Bozionek	2002P10504WOUS	8327
7590 10/31/2007 Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Pro	perty Department		KANGARLOO, RAMTIN	
170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			4177	10
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/525,778	BOZIONEK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramtin Kangarloo	4177		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims	•			
4) Claim(s) 13-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected or bin abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected or bin abeyance.	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	arminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/2005.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 1. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 13-19, 21 and 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Mayeul (European Patent Application No EP0926909A2 as cited by applicant).

Regarding Claim 13, a method for forwarding a signaling message embodied accordance with a first signaling protocol from a first device within a first network to a second device within a second network, comprising: receiving the signaling message; connecting the first and second networks by a third network (See Fig 4, Users 10 and 30); providing a network access device in the third network (See Fig.4, User 40); transferring the signaling message from the first device to the network access device by tunneling the message through the third network (See Col.4, Lines35-38); determining if the first signal protocol and a second signaling protocol supported by the second device are the same protocol, the determination made by the network access device and based on a target datum identifying the second device (See Col.4, Lines40-49); if the

protocols are the same the method further comprising converting the signaling message into the second signaling protocol, transferring the converted signaling message to the second device by tunneling the message through the third network (See Col.5, Lines 17-22); and if the protocols are not the same the method further comprising transferring the signaling message to the second device by tunneling the message through the third network (See Col.5, Lines 17-22).

Regarding Claim 14, the method according to claim 13, wherein protocol conversion is handled by the network access device (See Col.4, Lines 33-55).

Regarding **Claim 15**, the method according to claim 13, wherein the network access device performs functions of a telecommunication device, which serves for a switching of a connection for a transfer of voice data in a private data transfer network (see Page. 13, Fig 5).

Regarding **Claim 16**, the method according to claim 13, wherein the network access device switches a connection that transfers voice data in a private data transfer network (see Page. 13, Fig 5).

Regarding Claim 17, the method according to claim 16, wherein a data transfer network functions according to the Internet protocol (See Col.1, Lines 36-43).

Regarding Claim 18, the method according to claim 13, wherein the network access device performs a network access function for a plurality of terminal devices of a local data network (See Col.1, Lines 32-34).

Regarding Claim 19, the method according to claim 13, wherein the network access device performs a network access function for a central device of a plurality of local data transfer networks, the central device perform services for a plurality of terminal devices of a data transfer network (See Col.3, Lines 55-58 and Col 4, Lines 1-2).

Regarding Claim 21, the method according to claim 13, further comprising: reading the target datum with an access function that reads target data of various signaling protocols; and determining the first signaling protocol of the received signaling message (See Col.5, Lines 11-22).

Regarding Claim 23, the method according to claim 13, further comprising: storing the first protocol signal in a storage device; and deciding if a protocol conversion is required after the storage step (See Col.8, Lines 50-56).

Regarding Claim 24, the method according to claim 13, wherein the signaling message relates to a transfer of voice data and/or to the performance of additional service features for the transfer of voice data (See Col.7, Lines 44-49).

Regarding Claim 25, the method according to claim 24, wherein the transfer of voice data is in an operating data packet (See Col.11, Lines 49-51).

In addition using data packet in ISDN is inherent.

Regarding Claim 26, a program for determining if a signaling message embodied accordance with a first signaling protocol supported by a first device requires conversion to a second signaling protocol supported by a second device (See Fig.5), comprising: a receiver for receiving the signaling message; a target datum identifying the second device and thereby identifying the second signaling protocol; a comparator that compares the first signaling protocol of the signaling message with the second signaling protocol; and a converter that converts the signaling message into the second signaling

protocol if the comparator indicates the first and second signaling protocols are different (See Col.4, Lines 33-55).

Regarding Claim 27, the program according to claim 26, wherein the converter transfers the signaling message to a network access device to do the conversion (See Col.8, Lines 13-22).

Regarding Claim 28, the program according to claim 26, further comprising a storage interface that stores the signaling message (See Col.8, Lines 50-56).

Regarding Claim 29, the program according to claim 26, further comprising a transferring section that transfers the signaling message to the second device (See Page 14, Fig 6).

Regarding Claim 30, a network access device for forwarding a signaling message from a first device in a first device in a first network to a second device in a second network (See Fig 4, Users 10 and 30), comprising: a control device for evaluating the signaling message and determining the second terminal device; a compare device that compares a first signaling protocol of the signaling message

received from the first device and a second signaling protocol supported by the second device; and a transfer device that transfers the signaling message to the second device (See Col.4, Lines 33-55).

Regarding Claim 31, the network access device according to claim 30, further comprising an interface that accesses a storage device, the storage device comprising an association between a terminal device and a server as well as an association between a protocol and the server (See Col.8, Lines 47-58).

Regarding Claim 32, the network access device according to claim 30, further comprising a conversion device that converts the signaling message embodied according to the first signaling protocol to a second signaling message protocol (See Col.8, Lines 13-22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayeul in view Xu (US Patent No 6738390).

Regarding Claim 20, Mayeul disclose all of the limitations as applied to claim 13.

Mayeul does not specifically disclose the first and second signaling protocols are selected from the group consisting of SIP, H.323, QSIG, SIP based, H.323 based, QSIG based, and combinations thereof. Xu teaches the first and second signaling protocols are selected from the group consisting of SIP, H.323, QSIG, SIP based, H.323 based, QSIG based, and combinations thereof (See Col.1, Lines 9-12 and Lines 66-67 and Col.2, Lines 1-15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount SIP, H.323 taught by Xu onto the network system as shown in Mayeul, in order to protocol conversion so that the systems become well organized.

Regarding **Claim 22**, Mayeul and Xu disclose all of the limitations as applied to claim 20. Further, Mayeul disclose no protocol conversion is required if the first and second signaling protocols are in a same protocol family (See Col.5, Lines 20-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/525,778

Art Unit: 4177

Page 9

Conclusion

5. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed** to (571) 273-8300 **or Mailed to :**

P.O.Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramtin Kangarloo whose telephone number is (571) 270-3452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benny Tieu can be reached on (571) 272-7490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,778

Art Unit: 4177

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramtin Kangarloo **Examiner Art Unit 4177** October 23, 2007

BENNY Q. TIEU SPE/TRAINER